



TITLE:

Simulating Fair Dice with a Small Set of Rationally Biased Coins

AUTHOR(S):

Itoh, Toshiya; Mochiduki, Takahiro

CITATION:

Itoh, Toshiya ...[et al]. Simulating Fair Dice with a Small Set of Rationally Biased Coins. 数理解析研究所講究録 1994, 871: 130-137

ISSUE DATE:

1994-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/84045>

RIGHT:

Simulating Fair Dice with a Small Set of Rationally Biased Coins

東京工業大学総合理工学研究科 伊東 利哉 (Toshiya Itoh)
東京工業大学総合理工学研究科 望月 貴裕 (Takahiro Mochiduki)

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

A problem of simulating fair dice with coins is initiated by Feldman et al [Fetal]. Informally, the problem can be defined as follows: Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Given a set of $m \geq 1$ (biased or unbiased) coins, output with equal probability $1, 2, \dots, n$ in a short time by flipping the (biased or unbiased) coins. Such a task is sometimes very crucial in choosing with equal probability an element from a finite but large set, e.g., interactive proof systems [BM], [GMR], program checking [BK], self-testing/correcting [BLR], public-key cryptosystems [E], public key distribution schemes [DH], etc. Feldman et al [Fetal], however, showed that if only an unbiased coin is allowed to be flipped, then for any integer $n \geq 3$ (not a power of 2), there does not exist any algorithm that always terminates to simulate a fair n -sided die. This implies that even a fair 3-sided die cannot be simulated only with an unbiased coin. Then for any integer $n \geq 2$, we allow in our model of computation to flip biased coins to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die (The formal model of computation will be defined in subsection 2.1).

In this model of computation, Feldman et al [Fetal] showed that for any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists an efficient algorithm that simulates a fair n -sided die with an unbiased coin and a coin of bias $1/n$ within $\lceil 2 \log n \rceil + 1$ coin flips. This implies that for any integer $n \geq 2$, $\lceil 2 \log n \rceil + 1$ coin flips are sufficient to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die. Then our first question is

- **Question 1:** When sufficiently many coins are allowed to be flipped, how many coin flips are necessary to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die for any integer $n \geq 2$?

In addition, Feldman et al [Fetal] showed that for any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists an efficient algorithm that simulates a fair n -sided die within $\lceil 3 \log n \rceil$ coin flips with a single coin of bias p_n , where p_n is an appropriate algebraic number. This algorithm flips only a single coin of bias p_n , however, it flips the coin of bias p_n more times than the algorithm above with an unbiased coin and a coin of bias $1/n$ does. Then our second question is

- **Question 2:** For any integer $n \geq 2$, how many coins are sufficient to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die with minimum coin flips?

To efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die with minimum coin flips for any integer $n \geq 2$, the number of coins necessary to do it would be very large. Then our final question is

- **Question 3:** For any integer $n \geq 2$, how many coins are necessary to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die with minimum coin flips?

In this paper, we carefully analyze the model of computation for simulating dice with coins and provide total or partial solutions to the questions above.

1.2 Results

In this paper, we first show as a solution to Question 1 that for any integer $n \geq 2$, if a fair n -sided die can be simulated within d coin flips of any set of $m \geq 1$ coins, then $d \geq \lceil \log n \rceil$ (see Theorem 3.1). It is trivial that for any integer $n \geq 2$, $2^{\lceil \log n \rceil} - 1$ coins are sufficient to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips, because we have $2^{\lceil \log n \rceil} - 1$ chances to flip different coins to simulate a

fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips. As a nontrivial solution to Question 2, we show that for any integer $n \geq 2$, there exists an efficient algorithm that simulates a fair n -sided die with a set of $H(n)$ rational coins within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips, where $H(n)$ is the number 1's of the binary representation of an integer n (see Theorem 3.2). This is a nontrivial upper bound on the number of coins, i.e., for any integer $n \geq 2$, a set of $H(n) \leq \lceil \log n \rceil$ rational coins is sufficient to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

As we will exemplify in section 4, there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ for which a set of $m < H(n)$ rational coins can simulate a fair n -sided within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips. Thus for every integer $n \geq 2$, a set of $H(n)$ rational coins is not necessary to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips. Then we show as a partial solution to Question 3 that for any integer $n = 2^d - 1$ ($d \geq 3$), a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins is necessary and unique to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips (see Theorem 4.3). This implies that for any integer $n = 2^d - 1$ ($d \geq 3$), irrational coins are of no use to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The Model of Computation

A coin c is said to be of *bias* p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$) if (upon request) it outputs either *heads* or *tails* with probability p for tails¹. We say that c is a p -coin if it is of bias p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$). Note that for any bias p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$), we can transform p -coins to $(1-p)$ -coins with no additional coin flips by regarding heads as tails and vice versa and that for bias $p = 0, 1$, we can simulate the same process without flipping such coins. Then we assume without loss of generality that $0 < p \leq 1/2$ for any bias p . We also assume that for any bias p ($0 < p \leq 1/2$), the outputs of coins of bias p are (statistically) independent. We refer to a coin c of bias p ($0 < p \leq 1/2$) as a *rational coin* if p is rational. A sequence of $d \geq 1$ coin flips can be viewed as a binary number of length $d \geq 1$ by assigning the value 1 to heads and the value 0 to tails.

Here we use $C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ to denote a set of $m \geq 1$ coins in which the i -th ($1 \leq i \leq m$) coin is of bias p_i ($0 < p_i \leq 1/2$). Here we assume that the outputs of a p_i -coin and a p_j -coin are (statistically) independent for each i, j ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$). For any integer $n \geq 2$, an n -sided die d_n is said to be *fair* if (upon request) it outputs one of $1, 2, \dots, n$ with equal probability, i.e., for each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), it outputs i with probability $1/n$. A fair coin is a fair 2-sided die.

To simulate an n -sided die with a set of coins $C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ within $d \geq 1$ coin flips, here we consider the following model of computation. Let T be a finite full binary decision tree of depth $d \geq 1$. At every node of T , we assume that its right branch is labeled with head (or 1) and its left branch is labeled with tail (or 0). Then T has 2^d leaves and each leaf ℓ of T is assumed to be numbered from left to right with $0, 1, \dots, 2^d - 1$. We first assign some p_i -coin in C ($1 \leq i \leq m$) to each node of T . Here we refer to this process as *node assignment* of T .

Then we recursively label each node of T with $\langle a, b \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^* \times (0, 1]$ as follows: The root r of T is labeled with $\langle \epsilon, 1 \rangle$, where ϵ is a null string. When some p_{i_r} -coin in C ($1 \leq i_r \leq m$) is assigned to the root r of T , its right son is labeled with $\langle 1, 1 - p_{i_r} \rangle$ and its left son is labeled with $\langle 0, p_{i_r} \rangle$. Now assume that an internal node v of T is labeled with $\langle s, w \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^* \times (0, 1]$ and that some p_{i_v} -coin in C ($1 \leq i_v \leq m$) is assigned to the node v of T . Then its right son is labeled with $\langle s||1, w \times (1 - p_{i_v}) \rangle$ and its left son is labeled with $\langle s||0, w \times p_{i_v} \rangle$, where $a||b$ is the concatenation of strings $a, b \in \{0, 1\}^*$. Finally, each leaf ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$) of T is labeled with $\langle s_\ell, w_\ell \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^d \times (0, 1]$. Here we note that for each ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$), $s_\ell = \text{bin}(\ell)$, where $\text{bin}(\ell)$ denotes the binary representation of an integer ℓ .

We then determine a mapping from each leaf ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$) of T to the k -th ($1 \leq k \leq n$) side of the n -sided die, i.e., $f : \{0, 1, \dots, 2^d - 1\} \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For each k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), the weight W_k of the k -th side of the n -sided die is defined to be

$$W_k = \sum_{\ell \in f^{-1}(k)} w_\ell, \quad (1)$$

¹ Feldman et al [Fetal] defined a coin of bias p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$) in a way that (upon request) it outputs either heads or tails with probability p for heads.

where each leaf ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$) of T is assumed to be labeled with $(s_\ell, w_\ell) \in \{0, 1\}^d \times (0, 1)$. We refer to this process as **leaf assignment** of T .

We say that a set of m coins $C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ simulates a fair n -sided die within $d \geq 1$ coin flips if there exist node/leaf assignment of a finite full binary decision tree T of depth $d \geq 1$ such that $W_k = 1/n$ for each k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), and we say that a fair n -sided die can be simulated within $d \geq 1$ coin flips of $m \geq 1$ coins if there exists a set of m coins $C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ that simulates a fair n -sided die within $d \geq 1$ coin flips.

2.2 Known Results

On the model of computation in subsection 2.1, Feldman et al [Fetal] showed the following:

Theorem 2.1 [Fetal]: For any integer $n \geq 2$, a fair n -sided die can be simulated with a set of 2 rational coins $C_n = \{1/n, 1/2\}$ within $\lceil 2 \log n \rceil + 1$ coin flips.

Theorem 2.2 [Fetal]: For any integer $n \geq 2$ (not a power of 2), it is impossible to efficiently simulate a fair n -sided die only with a single rational coin.

Theorem 2.3 [Fetal]: For any integer $n \geq 2$, a fair n -sided die can be simulated only with a single irrational coin within $\lceil 3 \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

3 Simulating a Die with Minimum Coin Flips

Feldman et al [Fetal] showed that for any integer $n \geq 2$, $\lceil 2 \log n \rceil + 1$ coin flips are sufficient to simulate a fair n -sided die with a set of 2 rational coins $C = \{1/n, 1/2\}$ (see Theorem 2.1).

In this section, we first show a lower bound on the number of coin flips to simulate a fair n -sided die with any fixed set of coins (Theorem 3.1). Then we show that for any integer $n \geq 2$, a fair n -sided die can be simulated with minimum coin flips of a set of $H(n)$ rational coins, where $H(n)$ is the number of 1's of the binary representation of $n \geq 2$ (Theorem 3.2).

Recall the model of computation (see subsection 2.1) to simulate an n -sided die with a set of coins $C = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$ within $d \geq 1$ coin flips. Then the finite full binary decision tree T of depth $d \geq 1$ has 2^d leaves. When $2^d < n$, it is impossible to simulate a fair n -sided die with any set of coins within $d \geq 1$ coin flips even if any node/leaf assignment of T are used. Thus it follows that $2^d \geq n$ and then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1: For any integer $n \geq 2$ and any integer $m \geq 1$, if a fair n -sided die can be simulated within $d \geq 1$ coin flips of any set of $m \geq 1$ coins, then $d \geq \lceil \log n \rceil$.

In the rest of this section, we will focus on showing the theorem that guarantees to simulate a fair n -sided die for any $n \geq 2$ with a small set of coins C within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

Theorem 3.2: For any integer $n \geq 2$, a fair n -sided die can be simulated with a set of $H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, \dots, p_{H(n)-1}, 1/2\}$ within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips, where $H(n)$ denotes the number of 1's of the binary representation of an integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof: Let $n = 2^e N$, where N is odd and $e \geq 0$. We first note that within $e = \lceil \log 2^e \rceil$ coin flips, a fair 2^e -sided die can be simulated with a coin of bias $1/2$ as follows:

- (1) define a finite full binary decision tree T_{2^e} of depth e by assigning a coin of bias $1/2$ to each node of T_{2^e} ;
- (2) map each leaf ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^e$) of T_{2^e} to the $(\ell + 1)$ -th side of a 2^e -sided die.

It is obvious that this simulates a fair 2^e -sided die with a coin of bias $1/2$ within e coin flips.

Let $C_N = \{p_1, \dots, p_{H(N)-1}, 1/2\}$ be any set of $H(N)$ rational coins. Here we assume that a fair N -sided die can be simulated with the set of $H(N)$ rational coins C_N within $\lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips. Then we can simulate a fair n -sided die with the set of $H(N)$ rational coins C_N as follows:

- (1) simulate a fair 2^e -sided die with a coin of bias $1/2$ within $e = \lceil \log 2^e \rceil$ coin flips;
- (2) simulate a fair N -sided die with $C_N = \{p_1, \dots, p_{H(N)-1}, 1/2\}$ within $\lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips;
- (3) when the outcome of a fair 2^e -sided die is i ($1 \leq i \leq 2^e$) and the outcome of a fair N -sided die is j ($1 \leq j \leq N$), output $\ell = N(i-1) + j$.

Then this process simulates a fair n -sided die with a set of coins $C_N = \{p_1, \dots, p_{H(N)-1}, 1/2\}$ within $\lceil \log N \rceil + e$ coin flips. We note that $H(n) = H(2^e N) = H(N)$ and $\lceil \log n \rceil = \lceil \log 2^e N \rceil = \lceil \log N \rceil + e$ for $n = 2^e N$. Thus it suffices to show that for any odd integer $N \geq 2$, a fair N -sided die can be simulated with $C_N = \{p_1, \dots, p_{H(N)-1}, 1/2\}$ within $\lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips.

Let $N = 2^{e_m} + 2^{e_{m-1}} + \dots + 2^{e_1}$ be an odd integer, where $0 = e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_m$. Then $H(N) = m$ and $\lceil \log N \rceil = e_m + 1$. Define N_i to be $N_i = 2^{e_m-i} + 2^{e_{m-i-1}} + \dots + 2^{e_1}$ for each i ($0 \leq i \leq m-1$). For a set of $m \geq 1$ coins $C_N = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$, let $p_i = N_i/N_{i-1}$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$) and let $p_m = 1/2$. Let T_N be a finite full binary decision tree of depth $\lceil \log N \rceil$. We first recursively define node assignment of T_N as follows:

- (1) assign a p_1 -coin to the root r of T_N ;
- (2) at the node of T_N to which a p_i -coin ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$) is assigned, assign a p_{i+1} -coin to its left son and assign a p_m -coin to its right son;
- (3) at the node of T_N to which a p_m -coin is assigned, assign a p_m -coin to its both sons.

Define m groups of a set of leaves ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^{e_m+1} - 1$) of T_N to be

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \{\ell \mid 0 \leq \ell < 2^{e_m-m+2}\}; \\ G_i &= \{\ell \mid 2^{e_m-m+i} \leq \ell < 2^{e_m-m+i+1}\} \quad (2 \leq i \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|G_1\| = 2^{e_m-m+2}$ and $\|G_i\| = 2^{e_m-m+i}$ ($2 \leq i \leq m$), where $\|A\|$ denotes the cardinality of a finite set A . For each G_i ($2 \leq i \leq m$), define 2^{e_i} blocks B_{ij} ($1 \leq j \leq 2^{e_i}$) of G_i to be

$$B_{ij} = \{\ell \in G_i \mid 2^{e_m-m+i} + (j-1) \cdot 2^{e_m-e_i-m+i} \leq \ell < 2^{e_m-m+i} + j \cdot 2^{e_m-e_i-m+i}\}$$

Note that for each i ($2 \leq i \leq m$) and each j ($1 \leq j \leq 2^{e_i}$), $\|B_{ij}\| = 2^{e_m-e_i-m+i}$. We then define leaf assignment $f: \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{e_m+1} - 1\} \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ of T_N to be

$$f(\ell) = \begin{cases} 1 & \ell \in G_1 \\ j + \sum_{h=1}^{i-1} 2^{e_h} & \ell \in B_{ij} \quad (2 \leq i \leq m) \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to show that $W_k = 1/N$ for each k ($1 \leq k \leq N$). Thus a fair n -sided die can be simulated with a set of rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{H(n)}\}$ within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips for any (not necessarily odd) integer $n \geq 2$. ■

4 A Lower Bound on the Number of Coins

In section 3, we have shown that for any integer $n \geq 2$, a set of $H(n)$ rational coins is sufficient to simulate a fair n -sided die with minimum (i.e., $\lceil \log n \rceil$) coin flips (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). In this section, we consider the following question:

- **Question:** For any integer $n \geq 2$, is a set of $H(n)$ rational coins necessary to simulate a fair n -sided die with minimum (i.e., $\lceil \log n \rceil$) coin flips?

It is obvious that the answer to the question above is no. Let us look at the example below.

Let $n = 343 = 7^3$. Then $H(343) = 6$ and $\lceil \log 343 \rceil = 9$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that a fair 7-sided die can be simulated with a set of coins $C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$ within 3 coin flips, because $H(7) = \lceil \log 7 \rceil = 3$. Then within $9 = \lceil \log 343 \rceil$ coin flips, a fair 343-sided die can be simulated with a set of coins $C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$ by simulating fair 7-sided die 3 times.

This implies that a set of $H(n)$ rational coins is not necessary to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips for some integer $n \geq 2$. In the following (see Theorem 4.3), however, we show that there exists a set of integers S such that for every $n \in S$, a set of $H(n)$ rational coins is necessary to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

Now let us begin with simple cases: $n = 2^d$ ($d \geq 1$) and $n = 3$. In Lemma 4.1, we show that for any integer $n = 2^d$ ($d \geq 1$), only a fair coin can simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips and in Lemma 4.2, we show that for $n = 3$, either a set of 2 rational coins or a set of 2 irrational coins is necessary to simulate a fair 3-sided die within $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips.

Lemma 4.1: For any integer $n = 2^d$ ($d \geq 1$), only a coin of bias $1/2$ can simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

From Theorem 2.2, it follows that it is impossible to simulate a fair 3-sided die with a single rational coin. Then Theorem 3.2 implies that if any irrational coin is not allowed to be flipped, then a set of 2 rational coins is *necessary* and *sufficient* to simulate a fair 3-sided die within $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips. From Theorem 2.3, however, it could be possible to simulate a fair 3-sided die with a single irrational coin within $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips.

The following lemma shows that a set of 2 coins is necessary to simulate a fair 3-sided die within $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips even if any irrational coin is flipped.

Lemma 4.2: For $n = 3$, either a set of 2 rational coins $C_3 = \{p_1, p_2\}$ or a set of 2 irrational coins $C'_3 = \{p'_1, p'_2\}$ is necessary to simulate a fair 3-sided die with $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips.

Now we are ready to show that for any integer $n = 2^d - 1$ ($d \geq 3$), a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$ is necessary to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips. Indeed, we show in the following a stronger result, i.e., for any integer $n = 2^d - 1$ ($d \geq 3$), a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$ is necessary and *unique* to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

Theorem 4.3: Let $S = \{n \mid n = 2^d - 1 \text{ } (d \geq 3)\}$. Then for every $n \in S$, a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair n -sided die within $\lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips, where $p_i = (2^{d-i} - 1)/(2^{d-i+1} - 1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq d - 1$) and $p_d = 1/2$.

Proof: Since $n = 2^d - 1$ ($d \geq 3$) for any $n \in S$, $H(n) = d \geq 3$ and $\lceil \log n \rceil = d \geq 3$. Let T_n be a finite full binary decision tree of depth $d = \lceil \log n \rceil \geq 3$. Then T_n has $2^d = n + 1$ leaves. Now we assume that each leaf ℓ of T_n is numbered from left to right with $0, 1, \dots, 2^d - 1$ and is labeled with $\langle s_\ell, w_\ell \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^d \times (0, 1)$, where $s_\ell = \text{bin}(\ell)$ for each ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$). It is enough to consider a set of $d \geq 3$ coins, because Theorem 3.2 guarantees that a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$, where $p_i = (2^{d-i} - 1)/(2^{d-i+1} - 1)$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq d - 1$) and $p_d = 1/2$, is sufficient to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

Let $\tilde{C}_n = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d\}$ be a set of $d = H(n)$ coins in which $0 < q_i \leq 1/2$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$). Even if any node/leaf assignment of T_n are used to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips, leaf assignment $f : \{0, 1, \dots, 2^d - 1\} \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of T_n must satisfy that

- (1) there exists a single side k_0 ($1 \leq k_0 \leq n$) of a fair n -sided die such that $\|f^{-1}(k_0)\| = 2$;
- (2) $\|f^{-1}(k)\| = 1$ for any side k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) but $k \neq k_0$ of a fair n -sided die,

because $2^{\lceil \log n \rceil} - n = 2^d - (2^d - 1) = 1$. Let α, β ($0 \leq \alpha < \beta < 2^d$) be a pair of leaves of T_n such that $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = k_0$ and $w_\alpha + w_\beta = 1/n$. Here we refer such leaves α, β of T_n as *merging leaves* of T_n . Then $0 < w_\alpha, w_\beta < 1/n$ and $w_\ell = 1/n$ for any leaf ℓ ($0 \leq \ell < 2^d$) but $\ell \neq \alpha, \beta$.

Now let us consider the path P_n of length $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ in T_n from the root v_1 to the (merging) leaf 0. Here we assume that each node on P_n is numbered from the root to the (merging) leaf 0 with v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d and that for each j ($1 \leq j \leq d$), a coin $q_{i_j} \in \tilde{C}_n$ ($0 < q_{i_j} \leq 1/2$) is assigned to v_j . Recall that $2^d > n$ and $0 < q_i \leq 1/2$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$). Then for a leaf 0 of T_n ,

$$w_0 = q_{i_1} \times q_{i_2} \times \dots \times q_{i_d} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^d < \frac{1}{n},$$

where $q_{ij} \in \tilde{C}_n$ for each j ($1 \leq j \leq d$). This implies that $\alpha = 0$. Then the following two cases are possible: (C1) $1 \leq \beta < 2^{d-1}$; and (C2) $2^{d-1} \leq \beta < 2^d$.

We then show by induction on $d \geq 3$ that for every $n \in S$, a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$, where $p_i = (2^{d-i} - 1)/(2^{d-i+1} - 1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq d$) and $p_d = 1/2$, is necessary and unique to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips.

(Base Stage: $d = 3$) Since $d = 3$, $n = 7 = 2^3 - 1$. Let T_7 be a finite full binary decision tree of depth $3 = \lceil \log 7 \rceil$. Let $\tilde{C}_7 = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ be a set of 3 (rational or irrational) coins in which $0 < q_1, q_2, q_3 \leq 1/2$. Recall that a leaf 0 of T_7 is one of two merging leaves of T_7 .

In the case of (C1), it follows that $1 \leq \beta \leq 3$ and thus $w_\ell = 1/7$ for each ℓ ($4 \leq \ell \leq 7$). It is obvious that $1 - q_{i1} = w_4 + w_5 + w_6 + w_7 = 4/7$. Then $q_{i1} = 3/7$. This implies that the left half subtree T_7^L of T_7 simulates a fair 3-sided die within 2 coin flips and the right half subtree T_7^R of T_7 simulates a fair 4-sided die within 2 coin flips. Then Lemma 4.2 guarantees that on T_7^L , either a set of 2 rational coins $C_3 = \{p_1, p_2\}$ or a set of 2 irrational coins $C'_3 = \{p'_1, p'_2\}$ is necessary to simulate a fair 3-sided die within $2 = \lceil \log 3 \rceil$ coin flips and Lemma 4.1 guarantees that on T_7^R , only a coin of bias $1/2$ can simulate a fair 4-sided die within $2 = \lceil \log 4 \rceil$ coin flips. If a set of 2 irrational coins $C'_3 = \{p'_1, p'_2\}$ is flipped on T_7^L , then a set of 4 coins $C = \{3/7, 1/2, p'_1, p'_2\}$ is flipped on T_7 . This contradicts the assumption that a set of 3 coins $\tilde{C}_7 = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ is flipped on T_7 . Then it follows that a set of 2 rational coins $C_3 = \{1/3, 1/2\}$ must be flipped on T_7^L . Thus in the case of (C1), a set of 3 rational coins $C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair 7-sided die within $3 = \lceil \log 7 \rceil$ coin flips.

In the case of (C2), it follows that $4 \leq \beta \leq 7$. Then we have $w_0 = 1 \times q_{i1} \times q_{i2} \times q_{i3} < 1/7$, $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = 1/7$, and $w_\ell = 1/7$ for each ℓ ($4 \leq \ell \leq 7$) but $\ell = \beta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i1} &= w_0 + w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = w_0 + 3/7; \\ q_{i1} \times q_{i2} &= w_0 + w_1 = w_0 + 1/7; \\ q_{i1} \times q_{i2} \times q_{i3} &= w_0 = w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for each j ($1 \leq j \leq 3$), $q_{ij} \in \tilde{C}_7$ ($0 < q_{ij} \leq 1/2$) is given by

$$q_{i1} = w_0 + \frac{3}{7}; \quad q_{i2} = \frac{w_0 + 1/7}{w_0 + 3/7}; \quad q_{i3} = \frac{w_0}{w_0 + 1/7}. \quad (2)$$

We first show by contradiction that $q_{ij} \neq q_{ik}$ for each j, k ($1 \leq j < k \leq 3$). To do this, we consider the following three cases: (D1) $q_{i1} = q_{i2}$; (D2) $q_{i2} = q_{i3}$; and (D3) $q_{i3} = q_{i1}$. In the case of (D1), w_0 must satisfy that $w_0^2 - (1/7) \cdot w_0 + 2/49 = 0$. In this case, however, w_0 cannot be real and this contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i1} \leq 1/2$. In the case of (D2), $w_0 = 1/7$. Then $q_{i1} = 4/7$, however, this contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i1} \leq 1/2$. In the case of (D3), w_0 must satisfy that $w_0^2 - (3/7) \cdot w_0 + 3/49 = 0$. In this case, however, w_0 cannot be real and this contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i1} \leq 1/2$. Thus $q_{ij} \neq q_{ik}$ for each j, k ($1 \leq j < k \leq 3$). Then it follows that in the case of (C2), a set of 3 = $H(7)$ coins $\tilde{C}_7 = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ is necessary to simulate a fair 7-sided die within $3 = \lceil \log 7 \rceil$ coin flips.

We then show that in the case of (C2), \tilde{C}_7 is unique, i.e., $\tilde{C}_7 = C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$. Let u be the parent of leaves 2 and 3 of T_7 . Assume that u is labeled with $\langle 01, w \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^2 \times (0, 1)$ and a q_i -coin ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) is assigned to u . Since $w_2 = w \times q_i$, $w_3 = w \times (1 - q_i)$, and $w_2 = w_3$, we have $q_i = 1/2$. Thus one of the coins in $\tilde{C}_7 = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ must be of bias $1/2$.

Since $q_{ij} \neq q_{ik}$ ($1 \leq j < k \leq 3$), there must exist some j ($1 \leq j \leq 3$) such that $q_{ij} = 1/2$. From equation (2), it follows that if either $q_{i2} = 1/2$ or $q_{i3} = 1/2$, then $w_0 = 1/7$ and thus $q_{i1} = 4/7$. This contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i1} \leq 1/2$. Thus $q_{i1} = 1/2$ and we have $w_0 = 1/14$ from equation (2). Then it follows from equation (2) that $q_{i1} = 1/2$, $q_{i2} = 3/7$, and $q_{i3} = 1/3$. This implies that in the case of (C2), a set of 3 rational coins $C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair 7-sided die within $3 = \lceil \log 7 \rceil$ coin flips.

Thus it follows that a set of 3 = $H(7)$ rational coins $C_7 = \{3/7, 1/3, 1/2\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair 7-sided die within $3 = \lceil \log 7 \rceil$ coin flips.

(Induction Stage: from d to $d + 1$) Let $d \geq 3$. Assume that for $n = 2^d - 1 \in S$, a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1^n, p_2^n, \dots, p_d^n\}$, where $p_i^n = (2^{d-i} - 1)/(2^{d-i+1} - 1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq d-1$) and $p_d^n = 1/2$, is

necessary and unique to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil$ coin flips. Here we define $N \in S$ to be $N = 2^{d+1} - 1$. Let T_N be a finite full binary decision tree of depth $d + 1 = \lceil \log N \rceil = \lceil \log n \rceil + 1$ and let $\tilde{C}_N = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{d+1}\}$ be a set of $d + 1$ (rational or irrational) coins in which $0 < q_i \leq 1/2$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq d + 1$). Recall that a leaf 0 of T_N is one of two merging leaves of T_N .

In the following, we show that a set of $d + 1 = H(N)$ rational coins $C_N = \{p_1^N, p_2^N, \dots, p_{d+1}^N\}$, where $p_i^N = (2^{d-i+1} - 1)/(2^{d-i+2} - 1)$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) and $p_{d+1}^N = 1/2$, is necessary and unique to simulate a fair N -sided die within $d + 1 = \lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips.

In the case of (C1), it follows that $1 \leq \beta < 2^d$ and thus $w_\ell = 1/N$ for each ℓ ($2^d \leq \ell < 2^{d+1}$). It is obvious that $1 - q_{i1} = w_{2^d} + w_{2^d+1} + \dots + w_{2^{d+1}-1} = 2^d/N$. Then $q_{i1} = (2^d - 1)/(2^{d+1} - 1)$. This implies that the left half subtree T_N^L of T_N simulates a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log N \rceil - 1$ coin flips and the right half subtree T_N^R of T_N simulates a fair 2^d -sided die within $d = \lceil \log N \rceil - 1$ coin flips. Then Lemma 4.1 guarantees that on T_N^R , only a coin of bias $1/2$ can simulate a fair 2^d -sided die within $d = \lceil \log 2^d \rceil \geq 3$ coin flips and the assumption for $n = 2^d - 1 \in S$ guarantees that on T_N^L , a set of $d = H(n)$ rational coins $C_n = \{p_1^n, p_2^n, \dots, p_d^n\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair n -sided die within $d = \lceil \log n \rceil \geq 3$ coin flips. Thus in the case of (C1), a set of $d + 1 = H(N)$ rational coins $C_N = \{p_1^N, p_2^N, \dots, p_{d+1}^N\} = \{(2^d - 1)/(2^{d+1} - 1), p_1^n, p_2^n, \dots, p_d^n\}$ is necessary and unique to simulate a fair N -sided die within $d + 1 = \lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips.

In the case of (C2), it follows that $2^d \leq \beta < 2^{d+1}$. Then we have $w_0 = q_{i1} \times q_{i2} \times \dots \times q_{id+1} < 1/N$ and $w_\ell = 1/N$ for each ℓ ($1 \leq \ell < 2^d$). Then for each j ($1 \leq j \leq d + 1$),

$$\prod_{k=1}^j q_{ik} = \sum_{0 \leq \ell < 2^{d+1-j}} w_\ell = w_0 + \sum_{1 \leq \ell < 2^{d+1-j}} w_\ell = w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-j} - 1}{N}.$$

Then for each j ($1 \leq j \leq d + 1$), $q_{ij} \in \tilde{C}_N$ ($0 < q_{ij} \leq 1/2$) is given by

$$q_{i1} = w_0 + \frac{2^d - 1}{N} = w_0 + \frac{2^d - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}; \quad (3)$$

$$q_{ij} = \frac{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-j} - 1}{N}}{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+2-j} - 1}{N}} = \frac{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+2-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}. \quad (4)$$

We first show by contradiction that $q_{i1} \neq q_{ij}$ for each j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$). Assume that there exists some j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$) such that $q_{i1} = q_{ij}$. Then from equations (3) and (4),

$$w_0 + \frac{2^d - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1} = \frac{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+2-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}.$$

From the equation above, it follows that for some j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$),

$$w_0^2 - \frac{2^d - 2^{d+2-j} + 1}{2^{d+1} - 1} \cdot w_0 + \frac{2^d - 2^{d+1-j}}{(2^{d+1} - 1)^2} = 0. \quad (5)$$

From the assumption that $0 < q_{i1} \leq 1/2$, it follows that $0 < w_0 \leq 1/(2N)$. Then we show that $\mu_j, \nu_j \notin (0, 1/(2N)]$, for any j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$), where μ_j, ν_j are the solutions of equation (5). For each j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$), define a polynomial $f_j(x)$ of degree 2 to be

$$f_j(x) = x^2 - \frac{2^d - 2^{d+2-j} + 1}{2^{d+1} - 1} \cdot x + \frac{2^d - 2^{d+1-j}}{(2^{d+1} - 1)^2}.$$

Let x_j ($2 \leq j \leq d + 1$) be a value that gives the minimum of $f_j(x)$. Then

$$x_j = \frac{2^d - 2^{d+2-j} + 1}{2 \cdot (2^{d+1} - 1)} = \frac{2^d - 2^{d+2-j} + 1}{2N},$$

and thus we have $x_2 = 1/(2N)$ and $x_j > 1/(2N)$ for each j ($3 \leq j \leq d+1$). It is easy to show that for each j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$), $f_j(1/(2N)) = 1/(4N) > 0$. It follows that $\mu_j, \nu_j \notin (0, 1/(2N)]$, for any j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$), where μ_j, ν_j are the solutions of $f_j(x) = 0$. This implies that if there exists some j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$) such that $q_{i_1} = q_{i_j}$, then $q_{i_1} \notin (0, 1/2]$ and this contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i_1} \leq 1/2$. Thus $q_{i_1} \neq q_{i_j}$ for each j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$).

We then show by contradiction that $q_{i_j} \neq q_{i_k}$ for each j, k ($2 \leq j < k \leq d+1$). To do this, we assume that $q_{i_j} = q_{i_k}$ for some j, k ($2 \leq j < k \leq d+1$). Then w_0 must satisfy that

$$\frac{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+2-j} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}} = \frac{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+1-k} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}}{w_0 + \frac{2^{d+2-k} - 1}{2^{d+1} - 1}},$$

and we have $w_0 = 1/N$. It follows that $q_{i_1} = 2^d/N = 2^d/(2^{d+1} - 1) > 1/2$. This contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i_1} \leq 1/2$. Thus $q_{i_j} \neq q_{i_k}$ for each j, k ($2 \leq j < k \leq d+1$).

From the result that $q_{i_j} \neq q_{i_k}$ ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$) and the result that $q_{i_j} \neq q_{i_k}$ ($2 \leq j < k \leq d+1$), it follows that in the case of (C2), a set of $d+1 = H(N)$ coins $\tilde{C}_N = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{d+1}\}$ is necessary to simulate a fair N -sided die within $d+1 = \lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips.

We finally show that in the case of (C2), $\tilde{C}_N = C_N = \{p_1^N, p_2^N, \dots, p_{d+1}^N\}$. Let u be the parent of leaves 2 and 3 of T_N . Here we assume that u is labeled with $(0^{d-1}1, w) \in \{0, 1\}^d \times (0, 1)$ and a q_i -coin ($1 \leq i \leq d+1$) is assigned to u . Since $w_2 = w \times q_i$, $w_3 = w \times (1 - q_i)$, and $w_2 = w_3$, we have $q_i = 1/2$. Thus one of the coins in $\tilde{C}_N = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{d+1}\}$ must be of bias $1/2$.

Since $q_{i_j} \neq q_{i_k}$ for each j, k ($1 \leq j < k \leq d+1$), there must exist some j ($1 \leq j \leq d+1$) such that $q_{i_j} = 1/2$. From equation (4), it follows that for each j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$), if $q_{i_j} = 1/2$, then $w_0 = 1/N$ and thus $q_{i_1} = 2^d/N = 2^d/(2^{d+1} - 1) > 1/2$. This contradicts the assumption that $0 < q_{i_1} \leq 1/2$. Thus $q_{i_1} = 1/2$ and we have $w_0 = 1/(2N)$ from equation (3). Then it follows from equation (4) that $q_{i_j} = (2^{d-j+2} - 1)/(2^{d-j+3} - 1) = p_{j-1}^N$ for each j ($2 \leq j \leq d+1$). This implies that in the case of (C2), a set of $d+1 = H(N)$ rational coins $C_N^N = \{p_1^N, p_2^N, \dots, p_{d+1}^N\}$, where $p_i^N = (2^{d-i+1} - 1)/(2^{d-i+2} - 1)$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) and $p_{d+1}^N = 1/2$, is necessary and unique to simulate a fair N -sided die within $d+1 = \lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips.

Thus it follows that a set of $d+1 = H(N)$ rational coins $C_N = \{p_1^N, p_2^N, \dots, p_{d+1}^N\}$, where $p_i^N = (2^{d-i+1} - 1)/(2^{d-i+2} - 1)$ for each i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) and $p_{d+1}^N = 1/2$, is necessary and unique to simulate a fair N -sided die within $d+1 = \lceil \log N \rceil$ coin flips. ■

References

- [BK] Blum, M. and Kannan, S., "Designing Programs That Check Their Work," Proc. of STOC'89, pp.86-97 (1989).
- [BLR] Blum, M., Luby, M., and Rubinfeld, R., "Self-Testing/Correcting with Applications to Numerical Problems," Proc. of STOC'90, pp.73-83 (1990).
- [BM] Babai, L. and Moran, S., "Arthur-Merlin Games: A Randomized Proof Systems and a Hierarchy of Complexity Classes," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, Vol.36, pp.254-276 (1988).
- [DH] Diffie, H. and Hellman, M.E., "New Directions in Cryptography," *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, Vol.IT-22, No.6, pp.644-654 (1976).
- [E] El-Gamal, T., "A Public-Key Cryptosystem and a Signature Scheme Based on Discrete Logarithm," *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, Vol.IT-31, No.4, pp.469-472 (1985).
- [Fetal] Feldman, D., Impagliazzo, R., Naor, M., Nisan, N., Rudich, S., and Shamir, A., "On Dice and Coins: Models of Computation for Random Generation," *Information and Computation*, Vol.104, No.2, pp.159-174 (1993).
- [GMR] Goldwasser, S., Micali, S., and Rackoff, C., "The Knowledge Complexity of Interactive Proof Systems," *SIAM Journal on Computing*, Vol.18, No.1, pp.186-208 (1989).